Quantum black hole without singularity

Claus Kiefer

Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Cologne,
Zülpicher Strasse 77, 50937 Köln, Germany
E-mail: kiefer@thp.uni-koeln.de

arXiv:1512.08346v1 [gr-qc] 28 Dec 2015

We discuss the quantization of a spherical dust shell in a rigorous manner. Classically, the shell can collapse to form a black hole with a singularity. In the quantum theory, we construct a well-defined self-adjoint extension for the Hamilton operator. As a result, the evolution is unitary and the singularity is avoided. If we represent the shell initially by a narrow wave packet, it will first contract until it reaches the region where classically a black hole would form, but then re-expands to infinity. In a way, the state can be interpreted as a superposition of a black hole with a white hole.

Keywords: Black holes; canonical quantum gravity; singularity avoidance

Among the problems to be addressed by any sensible quantum theory of gravity is the issue of black hole evaporation. Hawking's semiclassical calculations break down when the black hole approaches the Planck regime, so the question arises whether there will be only thermal radiation left behind or not. In other words, the issue is whether there is unitarity violation for the full system or not; loosely speaking, whether "information" is lost or not. A related question is the quantum fate of the singularity predicted by general relativity.

Since there is not yet agreement about the correct theory of quantum gravity, an analysis must be based on one of the existing approaches.[1] Typically, one can either perform a formal and limited analysis of the full equations or perform a rigorous analysis of a simple model. Here, we choose the second way. We briefly review the exact quantization of a thin shell consisting of matter with vanishing rest mass. Classically, such a "null dust shell" can collapse to form a black hole or expand from a white hole. As we shall see, there is no black or white hole in the quantum version, but only a superposition (in some sense) of them, which avoids the formation of a singularity. This model was discussed already some time ago [2, 3, 4, 5, 6], and we refer the reader to these references for technical details. Our work is presented here because of some recent interest in this direction, see [7, 8] as well as other contributions to the BH6 session and the references therein.

Our approach is canonical quantum gravity (quantum geometrodynamics).[1] We use the method of reduced quantization and separate the variables and their canonical momenta into pure gauge degrees of freedom ("embedding variables") and physical degrees of freedom. The general existence of this "Kuchař decomposition" can be shown by making a transformation to the standard ADM phase space of general relativity.[9] In this construction, the presence of a formal "background manifold" plays a crucial role.[2]

Let us first present the classical model. All physically distinct solutions can be labelled by three parameters: a parameter $\eta \in \{-1, +1\}$ that distinguishes between

the outgoing ($\eta = +1$) and the ingoing ($\eta = -1$) null surfaces; the asymptotic time of the surface, that is, the retarded time $u = T - R \in (-\infty, \infty)$ for $\eta = +1$, and the advanced time $v = T + R \in (-\infty, \infty)$ for $\eta = -1$; and the mass $M \in (0, \infty)$. An ingoing shell creates a black-hole (event) horizon at $R = 2M$ and ends up in the singularity at $R = 0$. The outgoing shell starts from the singularity at $R = 0$ and emerges from a white-hole (particle) horizon at $R = 2M$. The metric reads

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = -A(U,V)\,\mathrm{d}U\,\mathrm{d}V + R^2(U,V)(\mathrm{d}\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta\,\mathrm{d}\phi^2).$$

From the demands that this metric be continuous at the position of the shell and flat under the shell, the coefficients A and R are uniquely defined for any physical situation defined by the variables M (the energy of the shell), η, and w (the location of the shell, where $w = u$ for the outgoing and $w = v$ for the ingoing case).[2]

In the standard (ADM) formulation, a general spherically symmetric line element assumes the form

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = -N^2\,\mathrm{d}\tau^2 + L^2(\mathrm{d}\rho + N^\rho\,\mathrm{d}\tau)^2 + R^2\,\mathrm{d}\Omega^2,$$

where N is the lapse and N^ρ the shift function. The shell is located at $\rho = \mathbf{r}$. The action reads

$$S_0 = \int \mathrm{d}\tau \left[\mathbf{p}\dot{\mathbf{r}} + \int \mathrm{d}\rho\,(P_L\dot{L} + P_R\dot{R} - H_0)\right],$$

where the Hamiltonian is explicitly given by

$$H_0 = N\mathcal{H}_\perp + N^\rho\mathcal{H}_\rho \; + N_\infty E_\infty.$$

The Hamiltonian consists of the Hamiltonian constraint and the diffeomorphism constraint,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_\perp &= \frac{LP_L^2}{2R^2} - \frac{P_L P_R}{R} + \frac{RR''}{L} - \frac{RR'L'}{L^2} + \frac{R'^2}{2L} - \frac{L}{2} + \frac{\eta\mathbf{p}}{L}\delta(\rho - \mathbf{r}) \approx 0,\\ \mathcal{H}_\rho &= P_R R' - P_L' L - \mathbf{p}\delta(\rho - \mathbf{r}) \approx 0.\end{aligned}$$

Such equations are discussed in [10]; see also [1].

The explicit transformation to embedding variables ("Kuchař decomposition") then proceeds in two steps. First, in the transformation of the canonical coordinates $\mathbf{r}$, $\mathbf{p}$, L, P_L, R, and P_R *on the constraint surface*; and second, in the *extension* of the functions u, v, p_u, p_v, $U(\rho)$, $P_U(\rho)$, $V(\rho)$, and $P_V(\rho)$ *off the constraint surface*. This leads to the action

$$S = \int \mathrm{d}\tau\,(p_u\dot{u} + p_v\dot{v} - np_up_v) + \int \mathrm{d}\tau \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}\rho\,(P_U\dot{U} + P_V\dot{V} - H), \qquad (1)$$

where $H = N^U P_U + N^V P_V$, and n, $N^U(\rho)$, and $N^V(\rho)$ are Lagrange multipliers. The first term in (1) describes the physical part, and the second term the embedding part. A crucial point is that the new phase space has non-trivial boundaries,

$$p_u \le 0\ , \quad p_v \le 0, \quad \frac{-u+v}{2} > 0.$$

The system has now been brought into a form which is suitable for quantization.[3] We apply the method of *group quantization* to

$$S_{\rm phys} = \int {\rm d}\tau \, (p_u \dot{u} + p_v \dot{v} - n p_u p_v) \, .$$

In this approach, one has to make a choice of a set of Dirac observables forming a Lie algebra. This algebra generates a group of transformations which must respect all boundaries, and it leads to self-adjoint operators for the observables; one obtains, in particular, a *self-adjoint Hamiltonian* and thus a *unitary dynamics*.

A complete system of Dirac observables is given by p_u, p_v, $D_u := up_u$, and $D_v := vp_v$. The only non-vanishing Poisson brackets are

$$\{D_u, p_u\} = p_u \ , \quad \{D_v, p_v\} = p_v .$$

The *Hilbert space* is constructed from complex functions $\psi_u(p)$ and $\psi_v(p)$, where $p \in [0, \infty)$. The scalar product is defined by

$$(\psi_u, \phi_u) := \int_0^\infty \frac{{\rm d}p}{p} \, \psi_u^*(p) \phi_u(p)$$

(and similarly for $\psi_v(p)$). It is useful to apply the transformation

$$\begin{aligned} t &= (u+v)/2, \qquad & r &= (-u+v)/2, \\ p_t &= p_u + p_v, \qquad & p_r &= -p_u + p_v. \end{aligned}$$

Upon quantization, one obtains the operator $-\hat{p}_t$, which is self-adjoint and has a positive spectrum, $-\hat{p}_t \varphi(p) = p\varphi(p)$, $p \geq 0$. It is the generator of time evolution ("Schrödinger evolution") and corresponds to the energy operator $E := M$.

For the physical interpretation of the quantum theory, it is useful to represent the thin shell by a wave packet and to study its evolution. By construction, the evolution of the wave packet must be unitary, so the wave packet cannot be "lost". We shall see that this leads straightforwardly to singularity avoidance.

It is convenient to start at $t = 0$ with the wave packet

$$\psi_{\kappa\lambda}(p) := \frac{(2\lambda)^{\kappa+1/2}}{\sqrt{(2\kappa)!}} p^{\kappa+1/2} {\rm e}^{-\lambda p},$$

where κ and λ are free parameters which describe the size and the form of the wave packet. The expectation value for the energy and its variance with respect to that state are

$$\langle E \rangle_{\kappa\lambda} := \int_0^\infty \frac{{\rm d}p}{p} \, p \, \psi_{\kappa\lambda}^2(p) = \frac{\kappa + 1/2}{\lambda}$$

and

$$\Delta E_{\kappa\lambda} = \frac{\sqrt{2\kappa+1}}{2\lambda},$$

respectively.

Since the time evolution of the packet is generated by $-\hat{p}_t$, one finds for $t > 0$ in the p-representation immediately that

$$\psi_{\kappa\lambda}(t,p) = \psi_{\kappa\lambda}(p)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}pt}.$$

Of more interest is the exact time evolution in the r-representation, for which one finds[3]

$$\Psi_{\kappa\lambda}(t,r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{\kappa!(2\lambda)^{\kappa+1/2}}{\sqrt{(2\kappa)!}}\left[\frac{\mathrm{i}}{(\lambda+\mathrm{i}t+\mathrm{i}r)^{\kappa+1}} - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{(\lambda+\mathrm{i}t-\mathrm{i}r)^{\kappa+1}}\right]. \qquad (2)$$

An important consequence of this result is that the wave function obeys

$$\lim_{r\to 0}\Psi_{\kappa\lambda}(t,r) = 0. \qquad (3)$$

The probability of finding the shell at vanishing radius is thus zero! It is in this sense that the *singularity is avoided in the quantum theory*. The quantum shell bounces and re-expands, and no event horizon forms. The expectation value and the variance of the shell radius are given by

$$\langle R_0\rangle_{\kappa\lambda} := 2G\langle E\rangle_{\kappa\lambda} = (2\kappa+1)\frac{l_{\mathrm{P}}^2}{\lambda}$$

and

$$\Delta(R_0)_{\kappa\lambda} = 2G\Delta E_{\kappa\lambda} = \sqrt{2\kappa+1}\,\frac{l_{\mathrm{P}}^2}{\lambda},$$

respectively, where l_{P} is the Planck length and G the gravitational constant. Closer inspection shows that the wave packet can be squeezed below its Schwarzschild radius if its energy is greater than the Planck energy.[3] This is a genuine quantum effect! In a sense, one can say that the initial quantum state develops into a superposition of a black hole and a white hole state, although, strictly speaking, neither a black nor a white hole develops; there are no event horizons and no singularities.

An initially collapsing shell will thus be re-expanding at a later time. If the results of our very simple model were typical for the generic situation of gravitational collapse, the collapsing object would thus re-appear. But isn't this in conflict with observation? After all, astrophysicists have never observed the time reversal of a collapsing star.

To answer this question, one must first calculate the time delay of the re-expansion. Since the wave packet will collapse to a radius equal or smaller than the Schwarzschild radius, the gravitational redshift is expected to be very large. Such a calculation was performed in [5], see also [7], but it is not yet clear from the result whether there are conflicts with observation or not. In order to capture the physics of a stellar collapse, probably a more realistic model than the present one is needed.

In our simple model, all local (field) degrees of freedom are frozen. The model thus does not capture the effects of Hawking radiation and black hole evaporation. To include those, one may apply the methods of canonical quantization to a toy model such as the one presented in [11]. But so far, no rigorous treatment comparable to the one here was achieved. If the feature of a re-expanding object survived

the inclusion of local degrees of freedom, the information-loss problem for black holes would be solved in a clear and intuitive manner: no information would be lost; it would re-appear in the course of time.

The vanishing of the wave function in the region of the classical singularity can also be used as a criterion for the avoidance of singularities in quantum cosmology, as proposed already by DeWitt in 1967.[12] More recently, this criterion has been successfully applied to a variety of singularities, including such exotic ones as big brake or big freeze; see, for example, [13], and references therein.

We finally mention that a quantum cosmological scenario of black and white hole superposition and singularity avoidance also arises from a consistent implementation of a low-entropy boundary condition for a small universe.[14] The ensuing arrow of time there ensures that one can only observe an expanding universe and objects collapsing to a black hole.

Acknowledgments

I thank the organizers of session BH6 for inviting me to present this topic in Rome and for providing a stimulating discussion atmosphere. I am also grateful to Petr Hájíček for our collaboration on this subject and for a critical reading of the manuscript.

References

1. C. Kiefer, *Quantum Gravity*, 3rd edition (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2012).
2. P. Hájíček and C. Kiefer, *Nucl. Phys. B* **603**, 531 (2001).
3. P. Hájíček, *Nucl. Phys. B* **603**, 555 (2001).
4. P. Hájíček and C. Kiefer, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **10**, 775 (2001).
5. M. Ambrus and P. Hájíček, *Phys. Rev. D* **72**, 064025 (2005).
6. P. Hájíček, in: *Quantum Gravity: From Theory to Experimental Search*, ed. by D. Giulini, C. Kiefer, and C. Lämmerzahl (Springer, Berlin, 2003).
7. H. M. Haggard and C. Rovelli, *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, 104020 (2015).
8. A. Barrau, C. Rovelli, and F. Vidotto, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 127503 (2014); A. Barrau, B. Bolliet, F. Vidotto, and C. Weimer, arXiv:1507.05424v2 [gr-qc] (2015).
9. P. Hájíček and J. Kijowski, *Phys. Rev. D* **61**, 024037 (2000).
10. B. K. Berger, D. M. Chitre, V. E. Moncrief, and Y. Nutku, *Phys. Rev. D* **5**, 2467; W. Fischler, D. Morgan, and J. Polchinski, *ibid.* **42**, 4042 (1990); J. Louko, B. Whiting, and J. Friedman, *ibid.* **57**, 2279 (1998).
11. C. Kiefer, J. Marto, and P. V. Moniz, *Ann. Phys. (Berlin)* **18**, 722 (2009).
12. B. S. DeWitt, *Phys. Rev.* **160**, 1113 (1967).
13. M. Bouhmadi-López, C. Kiefer, B. Sandhöfer, and P. V. Moniz, *Phys. Rev. D* **79**, 124035 (2009).
14. C. Kiefer and H. D. Zeh, *Phys. Rev. D* **51**, 4145 (1995).